Subject: Re: Well, I think that's essentially a different approach Posted by Wayne Parham on Mon, 12 Jul 2004 03:02:50 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yeah, Charlie, you're right. One approach is a dipole and the other is an infinite baffle. I suppose technically the way you're using them is a finite baffle and the infinite baffle is, well, infinite. But I wasn't focused on the radiation pattern and instead on the aspects of system tuning and Q, which is similar between infinite baffle and open baffle.