Subject: Should we mention Phil?

Posted by LuckyLucy on Sat, 21 Dec 2013 04:06:30 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Well, maybe everyone else is already tired of hearing about Phil from Duck Dynasty. But since it seems that you can't go anywhere on the internet today without seeing a Duck Dynasty argument, I thought I'd do my duty, and mention it here.

Did anyone read the original article in GQ? I did. Was anyone else more offended by the language the reporter was using than surprised by what Phil said?

The reporter was using the F-word, and cursing every paragraph. I wonder if he talked that way in front of Phil.

What Phil said about gays and everything else was perfectly predictable, given that he's a bible-Christian from Louisiana. What's the uproar? Who was expecting him to say anything different?

Subject: Re: Should we mention Phil?

Posted by Nymeria on Thu, 26 Dec 2013 15:29:08 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I can't say I'm surprised by his sentiments, but I found them crude and bigoted. Yes, I read the original article and Phil's statements bothered me much more than the reporter's comments.

Subject: Re: Should we mention Phil?

Posted by Vaiger on Tue, 31 Dec 2013 00:17:13 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

LuckyLucy wrote on Fri, 20 December 2013 22:06

What Phil said about gays and everything else was perfectly predictable, given that he's a bible-Christian from Louisiana. What's the uproar? Who was expecting him to say anything different?

Which is all the more reason why he shouldn't have said anything at all. A straight-lace bible thumper from the south is going to feel that way and we all know it. So no, I wasn't offended.